## DECEMBER 2017

- Agenda
- Introductions and thanks to Microsoft
- Quantum News
- Food/Pizza
- Shor
- Presentations can be found at github.com/NYCQuantumComputing
- Twitter @NYCQuantum
- Looking for hosts, presenters, topics, suggestions


## RECAP 2017

- March 2017 - Kickoff
- April 2017 - Grover search, IBM's Quantum Experience, math behind Grover
- May 2017 - Thanks to DWAVE for their technical presentation
- June 2017 - Thanks to Chris Monroe from IONQJ
- July 2017 - Quantum Entanglement
- September 2017-Bell's Inequality
- October 2017 - IBM presented QISKIT
- November 2017 - Nathan Weibe from Microsoft



## NEWS / INTERESTING

- IBM ThinkQ Conference December 6-8, 2017 -> videos
- Interesting papers
- "Quantum Artificial Life in an IBM Quantum Computer"
- Quantum Machine Learning
- Others?


## IDEAS FOR 2018

- Intro class - feedback for agenda, speakers
- Quantum Games
- Microsoft Topological Computing
- Complexity Theory
- Quantum Machine Learning meets Classical Modeling
- Physics of Quantum Computing


## SHOR/FACTORING

NYC QUANTUM COMPUTING MEETUP
DECEMBER 20, 2017


## AARONSON - "THE COMPLEXITY PETTING ZOO"

- Complexity theory analyzes the amount of resources time and/or space to solve a problem
- Theoretical CS usesTuring machines as the basis
- $P$ is the class of problems solved in polynomial time
- "problem is a decision problem"
- $f\left(k\right.$ bits) -> \{True, False) that gets solved in $\operatorname{TIME(n^{k})}$
- PSPACE is P space where Time is unlimited
- EXP is the class of problems solved in $\operatorname{TIME}\left(2^{n^{\wedge} k}\right)$
- Examples: Chess $10^{120}$ moves
- NP
- EXP problems where a proof exists that that can be checked in polynomial time
- Favorite example : checkmate, factoring a 10000 digit number
- $\mathrm{P} \neq \mathrm{NP}, \mathrm{NP}$-Hard, NP Complete, BQP (Another talk...)

- First 100 pages of Democritus




## BIG O CHARTS VIA ERIC ROWELL

Common Data Structure Operations

| Data Structure | Time Complexity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Space Complexity Worst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average |  |  |  | Worst |  |  |  |  |
|  | Access | Search | Insertion | Deletion | Access | Search | Insertion | Deletion |  |
| Atray | E(1) | 8(n) | $\theta(n)$ | $\theta(n)$ | O(2) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(n)$ | $0(0)$ |
| Stack | $\theta(n)$ | $0(n)$ | (1) | e(1) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | O(1) | O(1) | $0(0)$ |
| Queve | $\theta(\mathrm{O})$ | $\theta(n)$ | (0) 1 ) | e(1) | $0(0)$ | 0 CO | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(0)$ |
| Singly-Linked List | $\theta(0)$ | $0(n)$ | (0C) | e(1) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | O(1) | O(1) | $0(0)$ |
| Doubly-Linked List | $\theta(0)$ | $\theta(n)$ | (2) ${ }^{(1)}$ | e(1) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | 0 (1) | O(1) | $0(0)$ |
| Skip List | $\theta(\log (n) 3$ | $\theta(\log (1))$ | eclog(n)] | E(log(n)) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0 \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}$ | $0(0)$ | $O(n \log (n) 3$ |
| Hash Table | NA | e(1) | (e(1) | e(1) | N/A | $0(0)$ | 0 (n) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| Binary Search Tree | $\theta(\log (N)$ | $\theta(109(n))$ | E(log(n)) | $\theta(100(n)$ ) | $0(n)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| Carteslan Tree | N/A | 日(log(n)) | E( $\log (n)$ ) | $\theta_{(109}(n) 3$ | N/A | $0(0)$ | $0(n)$ | $0(n)$ | $0(0)$ |
| B-Tree | $\theta(\log (n))$ | $\theta(\operatorname{tog}(n))$ | E(log (n) | E(log(n) | $0(\log (n) 3)$ | $O(\log (n))$ | $0($ lop(n) $)$ | $\bigcirc(109(n) 3$ | $0(0)$ |
| Bed-Black Tree | $\theta(\log (n))$ | $\theta(\operatorname{tog}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{s}$ | ex $1000(n)$ ) | E(100( $n$ ) | $0(\log (n))$ | $\bigcirc(\log (\mathrm{n})$ ) | $\omega(\log (n))$ | OClog(n) | $0(0)$ |
| Splay Tree | N/ | $\theta(\operatorname{tog}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{s}$ | e(log(n)) | e(tiog(n)) | N/A | $\bigcirc(\operatorname{tog}(0))$ | $O(\log (\mathrm{n})$ ) | $0(109(0) 3$ | $0(n)$ |
| AVL Tree | $\theta(100(n))$ | $\theta(\operatorname{tog}(\underline{n})$ ) | E( $\log (\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{s}$ | $e(\log (n)$ ) | $0(\log (n))$ | $\alpha(\log (n))$ | $O(100(n))$ | $\omega(\log (\mathrm{n})$ ) | $0(0)$ |
| KD Tree | $\theta(1009(n) 3$ | $\theta(\operatorname{tog}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{s}$ | e(tages $(\mathrm{n})$ ] | e(loges $(3)$ ) | $0(n)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |

## Array Sorting Algorithms

| Algorithm | Time Complexity |  |  | Space Complexity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Best | Average | Worst | Worst |
| Quicksort | $0(n \log (n)$ ) | $\theta(n \log (n))$ | $0\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | $0(\log (n))$ |
| Mergesort | $n(n \log (n)$ ) | $\theta(n) \log (n))$ | $O(n \log (n))$ | $0(n)$ |
| Timsort | $0(n)$ | $\theta(n \log (n))$ | $0(n \log (n))$ | $0(n)$ |
| Heapsort | $0(n \log (n)$ ) | $\theta(n \log (n))$ | $0(n \log (n))$ | 0 (1) |
| Bubble Sort | $Q(n)$ | $\theta(n \wedge 2)$ | $0\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | 0 (1) |
| Insertion Sort | $Q(n)$ | $\theta\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | $0\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | 0 (1) |
| Selection Sort | $n(n \wedge 2)$ | $\theta(n \wedge 2)$ | $0\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | 0 (1) |
| Tree Sort | $Q(n \log (n))$ | $\theta(n \log (n))$ | $0(n \wedge 2)$ | $0(n)$ |
| Shell Sort | $0(n \log (n))$ | $\theta\left(n(\log (n))^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | $0\left(n(\log (n))^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | 0 (1) |
| Bucket Sort | $0(n+k)$ | $\theta(n+k)$ | $0(n \wedge 2)$ | $0(n)$ |
| Radix Sort | $0(\mathrm{nk})$ | $\theta(\mathrm{nk})$ | 0 (nk) | $0(n+k)$ |
| Counting Sort | $n(n+k)$ | $\theta(n+k)$ | $0(n+k)$ | $0(\mathrm{k})$ |
| Cubesort | $Q(n)$ | $\theta(n \log (n)$ ) | $O(n \log (n))$ | $0(n)$ |



## BIG O CHARTS VIA ERIC ROWELL

Big-O Complexity Chart


## PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOGRAPHY (PKI) BACKGROUND

- Different varieties of PKI - RSA is the most popular
- The problem of distributing a shared secret
- Completely random, one-time use pad is ideal (that's a lot of secrets)
- Ideal aka "Information-theoretically secure where key size needs to be the size of the message" - provable
- What happens if you can encode using a public key, decode using a private key?
- encrypt(pubkey, m) -> c
- decrypt(privkey, c) -> m
- Can you make encryption computationally easy and decryption "easy yet computationally hard?
- E.g. Can you define a P-SPACE encrypt and a P-SPACE decrypt + "trapdoor" information function but is NP hard without "trapdoor information"?


## PKI : FACTORING LARGE NUMBERS

- Multiplication is easy
- Multiplication is in P ; the effort is based on the total number of digits
- Factoring 200+ digit number composed of $2 \times 100+$ digit primes is very (assumed, but not yet proven to be) hard
- NP-Hard
- Time is $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ where n is the number of bit in N
- Cleverness has reduced the complexity to $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\wedge} 13\right)$
- 750bits is 200 digit number
- However, given one of the primes (e.g. the private key), factoring the number makes it a $P$ space problem


## THE MATH FOR RSA

- Public Key
- n product of two random primes $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$
- e relative prime to $\theta=(p-1) *(q-1)$
- $(1<e<\theta$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(e, \theta)-1)$
- Private Key
- d * $e=\bmod (p-1) x(q-1)$
- $d=e^{-1} \bmod (p-1) x(q-1)$

- $(d * e)=k(p-1) \times(q-1)($ not mod)
- Message
- m = plaintext
- Encryption
- $c=m^{e}(\bmod n)$
- Decryption
- $m=C^{d}(\bmod n)$
- Since
- $C^{d}=\left(m^{e}\right)^{d}=m^{e d}=m^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1}=m * m^{k(p-1)(q-1)}=m * 1=m(a l l \bmod m)$
- Where by Fermat, $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{p}-1}=1 \bmod \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{q}-1}=1 \bmod \mathrm{q}$


## VERY DEPENDENT ON RANDOM PRIMES

## FIPS PUB 186-3

FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING STANDARDS PUBLICATION

Digital Signature Standard (DSS)

CATEGORY: COMPUTER SECURITY
SUBCATEGORY: CRYPTOGRAPHY

## B.3.2 Generation of Random Primes that are Provably Prime

An approved method that satisfies the constraints of Appendix B.3.1 shall be used for the generation of IFC random primes $p$ and $q$ that are provably prime (see case A.1). One such method is provided in Appendix B.3.2.1 and B.3.2.2. For this method, a random seed is initially required (see Appendix B.3.2.1); the length of the seed is equal to twice the security strength associated with the modulus $n$. After the seed is obtained, the primes can be generated (see Appendix B.3.2.2).
B.3.2.1 Get the Seed

The following process or its equivalent shall be used to generate the seed for this method.
Input:
nlen The intended bit length of the modulus $n$.
Output:
status The status to be returned, where status is either SUCCESS or FAILURE.
seed The seed. If status = FAILLURE, a value of zero is returned as the seed.
Process:

1. If nlen is not valid (see Section 5.1), then Return (FAILURE, 0).
2. Let security_strength be the security strength associated with nlen, as specified in SP 800-57, Part 1.
3. Obtain a string seed of ( 2 * security strength $)$ bits from an RBG that supports the

## THE MATH FOR FACTORING

- It's all about efficiency and complexity
- Or separately out the various components of factoring and deciding how quantum techniques can best be used
- The classic tricks for factoring
- Modular arithmetic O(n)
- Euclid's theorem O(log n)
- Efficient calculation of gcd (greatest common denominator) $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
- Efficient period finding $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ (Oops! Bad!)


Figure 3.2: Scaling of number field sieve (NFS) on classical computers. Both horizontal and vertical axes are log scale. The horizontal axis is the size of the number being factored, in bits.


Figure 1: Size of numbers (in decimal digits) that could be factored over recent history. Green approximate state of the art' points do not necessarily represent specific numbers or the very largest that could be at that time-they are qualitative estimates. The other points represent specific large numbers being factored, either as the first number of that size ever to be factored (red) or not (orange) Dates are accurate to the year. Some points are annotated with decimal digit size, for ease of reading.

## GREATEST COMMON DENOMINATOR

- Euclid's Algorithm
- What does it do?
- $\operatorname{gcd}(32,21)$, where 32 is $1 \times 2^{5}$ and 21 is $1 \times 3 \times 7->\operatorname{gcd}$ is 1
- $\operatorname{gcd}(35,60)$ where 35 is $1 \times 5 \times 7$ and 60 is $1 \times 2^{2} \times 3 \times 5->\operatorname{gcd}$ is 5
- What's the algorithm?
- If $a>b, r$ is remainder when $a$ is divided by $b \& r \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(b, r)$
- Repeatedly use $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(b, r)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)$ when $r=0->a=k b$,
- What's the upper bound running time?
- O(log a)


## MODULAR ARITHMETIC

- $\mathrm{N}=35$
- $a \equiv b \bmod N(b=q N+a, q$ is any integer $)$
- $24=24 \bmod 35$
- $14=49 \bmod 35$
- $34=-1 \bmod 35$
- Addition
- $\mathrm{x}=24+49 \bmod 35$
- $3=73 \bmod 35$
- $3=(14+24) \bmod 35$
- Multiplication
- 24 * $49 \bmod 35$
- 14 * $24 \bmod 35$
- Modular arithmetic is very efficient


## THE SCHEME

- Factor N
- Select a random value
$\mathrm{x} \in\{2,3 \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-1\}, \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{N})=1$ (prime test)
- Determine the period $r$ of the sequence

$$
x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots \bmod N\left(r>0, \text { s.t. } x^{r}=1 \bmod N\right)
$$

$$
\text { - (... } \left.r \text { is even, } x^{r / 2} \pm 1 \neq 0 \bmod N\right)
$$

- Then

$$
\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{r} / 2)^{2}} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathrm{~N}
$$

$\left(x^{r / 2}+1\right)\left(x^{r / 2}-1\right) \equiv 0 \bmod N($ no remainder $)$
$\left(x^{r / 2}+1\right)\left(x^{r / 2}-1\right)=k N$ for some $k$

- Divisors $: \operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{r / 2}+1, N\right) \& \operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{r / 2}-1, N\right)$



## PERIOD FINDING (THE MATH)

| N | 35 |  | $x^{r} \bmod N$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | 2* | 3 | 5 | 7 | 43 | 31 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 31 |
| 2 | 4 | 9 | 25 | 14 | 29 | 16 |
| 3 | 8 | 27 | 20 | 28 | 22 | 6 |
| 4 | 16 | 11 | 30 | 21 | 1 | 11 |
| 5 | 32 | 33 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 26 |
| 6 | 29 | 29 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 1 |
| 7 | 23 | 17 | 5 | 28 | 22 | 31 |
| 8 | 11 | 16 | 25 | 21 | 1 | 16 |
| 9 | 22 | 13 | 20 | 7 | \#NUM! | 6 |
| 10 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 14 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 11 | 18 | 12 | 10 | 28 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 21 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 13 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 14 | 4 | 9 | 25 | 14 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 15 | 8 | 27 | 20 | 28 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 16 | 16 | 11 | 30 | 21 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 17 | 32 | 33 | 10 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 18 | 29 | 29 | 15 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 19 | 23 | 17 | 5 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| 20 | 11 | 16 | \#NUM! | \#NUM! | \#NUM! | \#NUM! |
| By Hand - r | 12 | 12 | $r=?$ | $r=?$ | 4 | 6 |
| $(\mathrm{r} 2+1)(\mathrm{r} 2-1)$ | 4095 | 531440 |  |  | 3418800 | 887503680 |
| GCD ( $\mathrm{r}^{2+1}$ ) | 5 | 5 |  |  | 5 | 7 |
| GCD ( $\left.\mathrm{r}^{2-1}\right)$ | 7 | 7 |  |  | 7 | 5 |

## JUST FIND THE PERIOD, RIGHT?

- 750 bits is 200 digit number
- If there's just two primes in the 750 bit number, where's the period?
- In fact, you need at least $2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ bits to figure out the period
- $(2 * 750)^{2}=2250000$ bits
- Note that's it a polymonial number of bits


## THE MATH FOR FACTORING - CONT'D

-....

- The classic tricks for factoring
- ....
- Efficient period finding $O\left(2^{n}\right)(O o p s!$ Bad!)
- Replacing classic period finding with "This one weird Quantum Trick"
- Very efficient period finding - Shor's QFT
- (Hint: Use quantum superposition to solve period finding)
- Superposition reduces the complexity to a $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

END OF SLIDES / QUESTIONS

## AARONSON - "THE COMPLEXITY PETTING ZOO"

- Classic Search $\mathrm{f}:[\mathrm{N}]=\{0,1\}$ takes $\sim \mathrm{N}$ queries
- Grover Quantum Search, f:[N] = $\sqrt{N}$ queries
- Classic Period Finding $\mathrm{f}:[\mathrm{N}]=\mathrm{N}$ takes $\sim \mathrm{N}$ queries
- Quantum Period Finding f: $[\mathrm{N}]=\mathrm{N}$ takes $\sqrt{N}$ queries
- Shor/Simon Quantum Period Finding f:[N] = N takes $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$ queries

