APRIL QUANTUM COMPUTING MEETUP

- Agenda
 - Introductions and Thanks to our Host Bank Of America
 - Food/Pizza
 - Short Course on Quantum Mechanics
 - IBM Presentation Quantum Computing, Grover Search and the IBM Quantum Experience
 - Deep dive into the Math for Quantum Computing by way of two Iterations of Grover Search
- Presentations can be found at github.com/quantumnewyork/Meetings
- Next meeting either May 4, May 11
- Looking for hosts, presenters, topics, suggestions

QUANTUM COMPUTING : SUPERPOSITION AND INTERFERENCE

0

A LOOK AT A QUANTUM ALGORITHM CALLED GROVER SEARCH

LESSON PLAN

- Description of Grover Search
- Introduction to Superposition and Interference in Quantum Mechanics through experiments
- Exposition and Demo of how Superposition and Interference are used in Quantum Computing, focusing on Grover Search.
- A worked mathematical example of Grover Search with three Q-bits



GROVER SEARCH

- Given a Phone Book Containing N unsorted entries (or any list of Unstructured Data)
- Find an entry that corresponds to a particular name (or criteria)
- Returns a most probable solution
- Leverages Quantum Mechanics(QM) Properties
 - Superposition
 - Particle/Wave Duality and Interference
 - Coherence and Decoherence

REVIEW OF QM PROPERTIES

- Wave/Particle Duality : Particles can Exhibit Properties of Waves
- Superposition : Particles can be in many states at once
- Interference : Wave/Particles in Superposition can interfere
- Coherence/Decohence : Observers collapse Superposition to an individual state
- Observed result is probabilistic



A CLASSICAL WAVE EXPERIMENT (FEYNMAN)

O



A CLASSICAL SUMMARY

- Particles impacts exhibit predictable additive properties irrespective of how many openings in our experiment
- Particle systems can be modeled with standard probability theory (additive in the or case)
- Waves exhibit interference and are very sensitive to how many openings are in the experiment
- Require a lot more math to model and are not additive.

A QUANTUM EXPERIMENT (DOUBLE SLIT)



- The photon seems to behave like a particle with one slit
- With two slits, it seems to be going through both openings Superposition
- It interferes with itself as if it was a wave Wave/Particle Duality
- If you observe the openings it will seem like there is only one slit open Decoherence

QM PROPERTIES SUMMARY

- So if we believe in QM ... what does this mean to me ??
 - "Naturally Occuring" physical phenomenon for "many simultaneous states" representation
 - "Many simultaneous states" can be constructed to generate "Outcomes"
 - "Outcomes" can be observed repeatedly (possibly influencing probabilistically a state) to generate a most probable "Result"
- So how do I go about leveraging QM to Generate Outcomes?? At the quantum level, we need to:
 - Define States (ie openings in our Slit)
 - Define Wave functions of each state
 - Define a way to combine wave functions to represent interference
 - Define a way to transform waves (amplify/dampen) without observing them
 - Define an observation device
- This is what Quantum Computing is about....

EXPOSITION AND DEMO OF HOW SUPERPOSITION AND INTERFERENCE ARE USED IN QUANTUM COMPUTING, FOCUSING ON GROVER SEARCH.

• Welcome IBM

A WORKED MATHEMATICAL EXAMPLE OF GROVER SEARCH WITH THREE Q-BITS

• See hand written notes

BRA-KET NOTATION D $V = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$: ket -V VT = LV = [Jo - Jn] Vi = q-bi : complex conjugate. where Vi = a+bi inner Product $\angle U | V \rangle = \overline{UTV} = [\overline{U_0} \quad \overline{U_n}] \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ V_n \end{bmatrix}$ $U_i = q_i + ib_i$ Vi = Ci + idi ZUIVS = UoVo+...+UnVn = (qo-iba) (co-ido) + (qu-iba) (cutida) $= \left[\left(a_0 c_0 - b_0 d_0 \right) - i \left(b_0 c_0 + q_0 d_0 \right) \right] + \dots + \left[\left(a_n c_n - b_n d_n \right) - i \left(b_n c_n - d_n d_n \right) \right]$



O

 $2 V | V \rangle = [V_0 - V_n] [V_0]$ $V_i = q_i + b_i$ D $= \left[(q_{0}^{2} + b_{0})^{2} - i (q_{0} b_{0} - q_{0} b_{0}) \right] + \left[(q_{0}^{2} + b_{0}^{2}) - i (q_{0} b_{0} - q_{0} b_{0}) \right] + \left[(q_{0}^{2} + b_{0}^{2}) - i (q_{0}^{2} + b_{0}^{2}) - i (q_{0}^{2} + b_{0}^{2}) \right]$ = 2.9:2+56:220 only Oif qi=0 and bi=0 outer product $|V\rangle\langle u| = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{u_0} & \overline{u_m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \overline{u_0} & v_0 \overline{u_1} & v_0 \overline{u_m} \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{u_0} & \overline{u_m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \overline{u_0} & v_0 \overline{u_1} & v_0 \overline{u_m} \\ \vdots \\ v_n \overline{u_n} & v_n \overline{u_n} \end{bmatrix}$ $(N \ge Lul) | w > = | v > Lulw > = Luw > | v > Lulw > = Lulw > | v > Lulw > = Luw > | v > Lulw > = Lulw > | v > Lulw > | v > Lulw > = Lulw > | v > Lulw > | v > Lulw > = Lulw > | v > | v > Lulw > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v > | v >$ Scalar

tensor product $|u\rangle|v\rangle = |uv\rangle = [u_0] \otimes [v_0] = [u_0v_0]$ $|u\rangle|u\rangle = |u\rangle^{\otimes 2} = [u_0][u_0] = [u_0^2][u_0u_1]$ we define "special" I and V: of a Rubit $\begin{array}{l} (b) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & and & Quantum State noder \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \right] \\ \hline \begin{array}{l} (p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \left[p \end{bmatrix}$ = 4.12 + 19.18=1 90,91 is amplitude of 1010>=<1/17=1 measure 102 and 12 2017=(10)=0

10 6

why does as a need to be complexe. Lets go back to bullets and waves classical prob : 20penings P(Opening I) = 50% P(opening2) = 50% P(op1 or op2) = P(op1)+P(0p2) Bat Quartum > waves above doesn't hold. P (opening I): 90+boil : CEll 90 : P(opening 2): 92+bzi : Gall 9. P(opening 1) defined as 1902: 9595 notation (o+100) (9,-ib) P (opening 2) : defied as 1912:9.9. = 9,2+ 62

6 P(0p2) = 10,12: 0,2+6,2 P(0P1+0P2) = 190+9,12 $= ((q_{0}+q_{1})+i(b_{0}+b_{1}))$ $\frac{((a_0+a_1) - i(b_0+b_1))}{(a_0+a_1)^2 + (a_1^2+b_1^2) + (a$ 2 (a.a. + b.b.) * Interference. MORE Probabilities 4 = a. 107+ a, 107 $(\overline{q_0} \angle 0| + \overline{q_1} \angle 1) (q_0| 0 > + q_1| 1 >)$ 1414>=1 = - qollo 117 + qqKo/15+ q190 L1/05+ $= \overline{a_0}a_0 + a_1\overline{a_1} = |a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$

Examples of states. $(\psi) = \alpha_0(0) + \alpha_1(1) \qquad |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\psi_2 = q_0 \left| 00 \right\rangle + q_1 \left| 001 \right\rangle + q_2 \left| 0107 + ... + q_1 \left| 111 \right\rangle$ $q_2 = q_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} + q_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] + q$ Some operations " Fates" : Hadamard : fair flip H: to [1-1] = to (07+19) Kol + to (10>-11) Kol $H(0) = \frac{105 + 112}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{20105} + \frac{105 - 112}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ = 10>+11>

BRA-KET NOTATION D $V = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$: ket -V VT = LV = [Jo - Jn] Vi = q-bi : complex conjugate. where Vi = a+bi inner Product $\angle U | V \rangle = \overline{UTV} = [\overline{U_0} \quad \overline{U_n}] \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ V_n \end{bmatrix}$ $U_i = q_i + ib_i$ Vi = Ci + idi ZUIVS = UoVo+...+UnVn = (qo-iba) (co-ido) + (qu-iba) (cutida) $= \left[\left(a_0 c_0 - b_0 d_0 \right) - i \left(b_0 c_0 + q_0 d_0 \right) \right] + \dots + \left[\left(a_n c_n - b_n d_n \right) - i \left(b_n c_n - d_n d_n \right) \right]$



 \mathbf{O} 10

HID = 102+112 20112 + 102-112 21112 z 10>-11> 50: $H(0) = (f_{2})(0) + (f_{2})(1) |a_{1}P_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ $H(1) = (\frac{19}{\sqrt{2}}) + (-\frac{9}{\sqrt{2}})(1)$ given a system in either state 10> all> Some Examples it produces equal prob H2 100) = H10 \$ H10 2 102+112 00 102+112= V2 V2 = ty (1002 + 1012 + 1102 + v4 (1112)

16

(X)=90 1000) + 91 200) + ...+ 97 (11) init state 1/000> H3 (00)= 212 00)++++ 212 = (111)>= 21/4) ai = 1/2 we know the right answer is 1011). The goal is to amplify agin. Phase Step In send & cinto bracker. X = 212 10007+ 212 10007+ 212 = 1000 = 212 + " + The Inis ster 2: perform diffusion transform [2107/41-I] XSI) =[214>/41-I][14>-2[00]

16 8 6

Ð = 210>2410> - 7.2 14>201010-4+ = 2(4) + (1) + ($\begin{bmatrix} 2 \psi | o(1) = \langle o(1) | \psi \rangle = \langle v | f \rangle \\ \langle o(1) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \langle o(1) | o(0) \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \langle o(1) | o(1) \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \langle o(1) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2$ = 152 $= \psi - \frac{\chi}{V_{2}} + \frac{1}{V_{2}} = \frac{1}{V_{2}} + \frac{1}{V_{$

1 4 + 1 2001) = Ø 6 + 1006> + + 1001> + (+121011>+++210117) 452 100 + ... + 1111> = 1000 + + + (5 10 M)) = 4 new Repeat un frew after next iteration 6 a3 1011) 1931= 94%